

CATEGORIAL ASPECTS OF INSTITUTIONALISM DEVELOPMENT

Target setting. For the efficiency of regional development, it is necessary to modernize productive forces and the territorial organization of the country. Despite the fact that some efforts are being made to modernize the institutional component of public relations through the implementation of decentralization in Ukraine, nevertheless, there is a need to consider categorical components of the institutionalization process in more detail.

Analysis of recent research and publications. In the domestic space, the works of O.Amosha, B.Burkynsky, M.Butko, V.Geyets, B.Danylyshyn, M.Dolyshniy, V.Zagorsky, P.Sabluk, V.Sidenko, A. Chukhno, S.Shkarlet are devoted to this topic.

Uninvestigated parts of general matters defining. Despite considerable interest in the issues of the institutional environment, features of the impact of institutions and establishments on the productive forces modernization of the regions of Ukraine in the conditions of the power reformation require intensive study.

Purpose of the article. Analyze essential features of the advantages, challenges and threats that the process of decentralization entails for the regional economy, against the background of the historical excursion to the origins of the main categorical and conceptual factors.

Statement of the main material. Works of foreign and domestic scientists are researched, existence of common features in the scientific achievements of representatives of the two schools is emphasized, positions that explain the conceptual vision of institutions and establishments by representatives of the direction are highlighted. Basic historical prerequisites for the establishment and development of modern institutionalism are identified.

It is stated that institutional environment is a set of institutions, that is, norms or rules aimed at solving economic problems, as well as organizations of institutions and other entities which activities are aimed at achieving specific goals.

Conclusions. Categorical aspects of the conceptual base formation of the institutionalism, defined in the article, will be useful for further scientific research in the issues of improving the institutional environment of the regional and national economy.

Keywords: institutionalism; regional economy; schools of institutionalism; neoclassical institutionalism; holism.

References

1. Weber, M. (2010). *Khoziaistvo y obshchestvo [Economy and Society]*. Moscow: GOVSHE [in Russian].
2. Veblen, T., Motyleva, V. (Ed.). (1984). *Teoriya prazdnogo klassa [The theory of the empty class]* (Trans. S. T. Sorokina). Moscow: Progress [in Russian].
3. Veblen, T. (1984). *Teoriya prazdnogo klassa [The theory of the empty class]*. Moscow [in Russian].
4. Dementiev, V. V. (2009). Chto my issleduem, kogda issleduem instituty? [What do we explore when we research institutes?]. *TERRA ECONOMICUS*, 7 (1), 13–30 [in Russian].
5. Zarutsky, I. D. (2009). Dyferentsiatsiia skladovykh instytutitsiinoho seredovyscha shchodo vplyvu na funktsionuvannia pidpriemstv APK [Differentiation of components of the institutional environment in relation to the influence on the functioning of agricultural enterprises]. *Ekonomika. Menedzhment. Pidpriemnytstvo – Economics. Management. Entrepreneurship*, 21. Retrieved from http://www.nbu.gov.ua/portal/Soc_Gum/Emp/2009_21/43Zaruts.Htm.
6. Oleinik, A. (Ed.). (2007). *Institutsionalnaia ekonomika [Institutional Economics]*. Moscow: INFRA – M [in Russian].
7. Marx, K., Engels, F. (1960). *Sochineniia [Works]*. (2nd ed.) (Vol. 23). Moscow [in Russian].
8. North, D. (2000). *Instytutsii, instytutsiina zmina ta funktsionuvannia ekonomiky [Institutions, institutional change and the functioning of the economy]* (Trans. I. Dub). Kyiv: Fundamentals [in Ukrainian].
9. Oleinik, A. N. (2007). *Instytutsionalnaia ekonomika [Institutional Economics]*. Moscow: INFRA – M [in Russian].
10. Pochenchuk, G. M. (2015). Osoblyvosti suchasnykh instytutsionalnykh peretvoren ekonomiky Ukrainy [Features of modern institutional transformations of the Ukrainian economy]. *Visnyk Natsionalnoho universytetu «Lvivska politekhnik». Serii: Menedzhment ta pidpriemnytstvo v Ukraini: etapy stanovlennia i problemy rozvytku – Bulletin of the National University "Lviv Polytechnic". Series: Management and Entrepreneurship in Ukraine: Stages of Formation and Problems of Development*, 835, 298–304 [in Ukrainian].
11. Sabluk, P. T. (2011). *Instytutsiini zasady transformatsii v ahraryi sferi: dop. na KhIII richnykh zborakh Vseukr. konhresu vchenykh ekonomistiv-ahrarynykh, 20-21 chervnia 2011 r. [Institutional Foundations of Transformations in Agrarian Sphere: Ext. at the 13th Annual All-Ukrainian Meeting. Congress of Agricultural Economists, June 20-21, 2011]*. Kyiv: NSC “Institute of Agrarian Economy” [in Ukrainian].

12. Tarasova, I. I. (2014). Doslidzhennia teorii instytutstionalizmu v upravlinni ekonomichnymy ta suspilnymy instytutamy [Investigation of the theory of institutionalism in the management of economic and social institutions]. *Ekonomichnyi chasopys-XXI – Economic Journal-XXI*, 11-12, 11–14 [in Ukrainian].
13. Tarushkin, A. B. (2004). *Institutsionalnaia ekonomika [Institutional economy]*. Sankt-Peterburg: Peter [in Russian].
14. Usiuk, T. V. (2013). Instytutsiini determinanty rozvytku silskoho pidpriemnytstva [Institutional determinants of rural entrepreneurship development]. *Marketynh i menedzhment innovatsii – Marketing and innovation management*, 4, 283–290. Retrieved from http://nbuv.gov.ua/UJRN/Mimi_2013_4_29.
15. Chubar, O. G. (2013). Instytuty ta instytutsionalne seredovyshche: teoretychni uzahalnennia zasad ekonomichnoho rozvytku [Institutes and institutional environment: theoretical generalizations of the principles of economic development]. *Naukovyi visnyk Uzhhorodskoho universytetu. Seriiia «Ekonomika» – Scientific Bulletin of Uzhgorod University. Economy series*, 3 (40), 98–104 [in Ukrainian].
16. Butko, M., Popelo, O., Pishenin, I. (2019). Innovative approach to estimation of efficiency of using the labor potential of agroindustrial complex of Ukraine in Eurointegrated conditions. *Marketing and management of innovations*, 2, 74–82.
17. Durkheim, E. (1892). *Quid Secundatus politicae scientiae instituendae contulerit*. Burdigalae Gournoilhou.
18. Moore, B. (1966). *The Social Origins of Dictatorship and Democracy: Lord and Peasant in the Making of The Modern World*. Boston.
19. Myrdal, G. (1968). *Asian Drama: An Inquiry into the Poverty of Nations*. N.-Y.
20. Popelo, O. (2017). Theoretical approaches to the development of the productive forces of Ukraine regions in the modernization dimension. *Theoretical and practical issues of ensuring the economic interests of the modern innovative society* (pp. 70-72). San Francisco, California, USA: B&M Publishing.